

# An Introduction to Quantum Groups and their Representation Theory: $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

Johnny L. Fonseca

GARTS

September 30, 2020

## Defining $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field, and consider  $\mathbb{F}(q)$  where  $q^2 \neq 1$ . Then

### Definition

$U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  is the associative, unital  $\mathbb{F}(q)$ -algebra defined by generators  $E, F, K^{\pm 1}$  with relations

# Defining $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field, and consider  $\mathbb{F}(q)$  where  $q^2 \neq 1$ . Then

## Definition

$U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  is the associative, unital  $\mathbb{F}(q)$ -algebra defined by generators  $E, F, K^{\pm 1}$  with relations

$$\begin{aligned}KK^{-1} &= 1 = K^{-1}K \\ KEK^{-1} &= q^2E \\ KFK^{-1} &= q^{-2}F \\ EF - FE &= \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}\end{aligned}$$

# Defining $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field, and consider  $\mathbb{F}(q)$  where  $q^2 \neq 1$ . Then

## Definition

$U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  is the associative, unital  $\mathbb{F}(q)$ -algebra defined by generators  $E, F, K^{\pm 1}$  with relations

$$\begin{aligned}KK^{-1} &= 1 = K^{-1}K \\ KEK^{-1} &= q^2E \\ KFK^{-1} &= q^{-2}F \\ EF - FE &= \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}\end{aligned}$$

**Note:**  $q^2 \neq 1$  is required in the last relation, but also implies from the middle two that  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  is a **noncommutative** algebra.

# Representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $G$

# Representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $G$

Let  $U$  and  $V$  be fin.-dim. representations (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp.  $G$ ). Then one defines a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module (resp.  $G$ -module) structure on  $U \otimes V$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $U^*$

# Representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $G$

Let  $U$  and  $V$  be fin.-dim. representations (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp.  $G$ ). Then one defines a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module (resp.  $G$ -module) structure on  $U \otimes V$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $U^*$

$$x \cdot (u \otimes v) := (x \cdot u) \otimes v + u \otimes (x \cdot v), \quad g \cdot (u \otimes v) := (g \cdot u) \otimes (g \cdot v)$$

# Representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $G$

Let  $U$  and  $V$  be fin.-dim. representations (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp.  $G$ ). Then one defines a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module (resp.  $G$ -module) structure on  $U \otimes V$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $U^*$

$$\begin{aligned}x \cdot (u \otimes v) &:= (x \cdot u) \otimes v + u \otimes (x \cdot v), & g \cdot (u \otimes v) &:= (g \cdot u) \otimes (g \cdot v) \\x \cdot a &:= 0, & g \cdot a &:= a\end{aligned}$$



# Representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $G$

Let  $U$  and  $V$  be fin.-dim. representations (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp.  $G$ ). Then one defines a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module (resp.  $G$ -module) structure on  $U \otimes V$ ,  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $U^*$

$$x \cdot (u \otimes v) := (x \cdot u) \otimes v + u \otimes (x \cdot v), \quad g \cdot (u \otimes v) := (g \cdot u) \otimes (g \cdot v)$$

$$x \cdot a := 0, \quad g \cdot a := a$$

$$(x \cdot f)(u) := f(-x \cdot u), \quad (g \cdot f)(u) := f(g^{-1} \cdot u)$$

## Representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $G$ (cont.)

Note that these actions allow one to define a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module (resp.  $G$ -module) structure on  $\text{Hom}(U, V)$  by utilizing the isomorphism  $\text{Hom}(U, V) \cong U^* \otimes V$  i.e.

$$(x \cdot f)(u) := x \cdot (f(u)) - f(x \cdot u), \quad (g \cdot f)(u) := g \cdot (f(g^{-1} \cdot u))$$

## Representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $G$ (cont.)

Note that these actions allow one to define a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module (resp.  $G$ -module) structure on  $\text{Hom}(U, V)$  by utilizing the isomorphism  $\text{Hom}(U, V) \cong U^* \otimes V$  i.e.

$$(x \cdot f)(u) := x \cdot (f(u)) - f(x \cdot u), \quad (g \cdot f)(u) := g \cdot (f(g^{-1} \cdot u))$$

**Note:** With this definition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module (resp.  $G$ -module) structure on  $\text{Hom}(U, V)$ , the aforementioned isomorphism of vector spaces becomes an isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules (resp.  $G$ -modules).

# Universal Conventions

# Universal Conventions

- My field is algebraically closed and of characteristic zero - so  $\mathbb{C}$ .

# Universal Conventions

- My field is algebraically closed and of characteristic zero - so  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- I will say module and representation interchangeably, but what matters is the map!

# Universal Conventions

- My field is algebraically closed and of characteristic zero - so  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- I will say module and representation interchangeably, but what matters is the map!
- My modules are finite-dimensional.

# Universal Conventions

- My field is algebraically closed and of characteristic zero - so  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- I will say module and representation interchangeably, but what matters is the map!
- My modules are finite-dimensional.
- All of my tensor products are over  $\mathbb{C}$ .



# Universal Conventions

- My field is algebraically closed and of characteristic zero - so  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- I will say module and representation interchangeably, but what matters is the map!
- My modules are finite-dimensional.
- All of my tensor products are over  $\mathbb{C}$ .
- A  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module  $(M, \phi)$  is an algebra homomorphism  $\phi : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \text{End}(M)$

# Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ : A Comultiplication

# Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ : A Comultiplication

Given that  $\text{End}(M \otimes N) \cong \text{End}(M) \otimes \text{End}(N)$  as algebras, it should be clear that if

$$\phi : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \text{End}(M), \quad \psi : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \text{End}(N)$$

are representations, we at least have the algebra homomorphism

$$\phi \otimes \psi : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \text{End}(M) \otimes \text{End}(N), \quad x \otimes y \mapsto \phi(x) \otimes \psi(y)$$

Which then by the above identification gives us a map on  $M \otimes N$ .

# Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ : A Comultiplication

Given that  $\text{End}(M \otimes N) \cong \text{End}(M) \otimes \text{End}(N)$  as algebras, it should be clear that if

$$\phi : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \text{End}(M), \quad \psi : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \text{End}(N)$$

are representations, we at least have the algebra homomorphism

$$\phi \otimes \psi : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \text{End}(M) \otimes \text{End}(N), \quad x \otimes y \mapsto \phi(x) \otimes \psi(y)$$

Which then by the above identification gives us a map on  $M \otimes N$ .

Thus, if we can construct an algebra homomorphism from  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  to its tensor square, we can take the composition and make the tensor product of modules into a module.

# Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ : A Comultiplication (cont.)

# Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ : A Comultiplication (cont.)

## Lemma

*There is a unique algebra homomorphism  $\Delta : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  given by*

$$\Delta(E) := E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E$$

$$\Delta(F) := F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F$$

$$\Delta(K) := K \otimes K$$

# Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ : A Comultiplication (cont.)

## Lemma

*There is a unique algebra homomorphism  $\Delta : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  given by*

$$\Delta(E) := E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E$$

$$\Delta(F) := F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F$$

$$\Delta(K) := K \otimes K$$

**Note:** The first two formulas are eerily similar to how Lie algebras act on tensor products, while the last is how groups act on tensor products.

### (Sketch of) Proof.

As this map is defined on the generators of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ , we need only check that the images  $\Delta(E), \Delta(F), \Delta(K^{\pm 1})$  preserve the defining relations of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ . I will show it for the last relation, leaving it to the interested viewer to check the rest!



### (Sketch of) Proof.

As this map is defined on the generators of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ , we need only check that the images  $\Delta(E), \Delta(F), \Delta(K^{\pm 1})$  preserve the defining relations of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ . I will show it for the last relation, leaving it to the interested viewer to check the rest!

Recall that the fourth relation states

$$EF - FE = \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}$$

Thus, we need to prove that taking  $\Delta$  of both sides gives the same result.

## (Sketch of) Proof (cont.)

On the left hand side we have

$$\Delta(EF - FE) = \Delta(E)\Delta(F) - \Delta(F)\Delta(E)$$

## (Sketch of) Proof (cont.)

On the left hand side we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(EF - FE) &= \Delta(E)\Delta(F) - \Delta(F)\Delta(E) \\ &= (E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E)(F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F) \\ &\quad - (F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F)(E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E)\end{aligned}$$

## (Sketch of) Proof (cont.)

On the left hand side we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(EF - FE) &= \Delta(E)\Delta(F) - \Delta(F)\Delta(E) \\ &= (E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E)(F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F) \\ &\quad - (F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F)(E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E) \\ &= EF \otimes K^{-1} + E \otimes F + KF \otimes EK^{-1} + K \otimes EF \\ &\quad - FE \otimes K^{-1} - FK \otimes K^{-1}E - E \otimes F - K \otimes FE\end{aligned}$$

## (Sketch of) Proof (cont.)

On the left hand side we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(EF - FE) &= \Delta(E)\Delta(F) - \Delta(F)\Delta(E) \\&= (E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E)(F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F) \\&\quad - (F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F)(E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E) \\&= EF \otimes K^{-1} + E \otimes F + KF \otimes EK^{-1} + K \otimes EF \\&\quad - FE \otimes K^{-1} - FK \otimes K^{-1}E - E \otimes F - K \otimes FE \\&= (EF - FE) \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes (EF - FE) \\&\quad + KF \otimes EK^{-1} - FK \otimes K^{-1}E\end{aligned}$$

## (Sketch of) Proof (cont.)

On the left hand side we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(EF - FE) &= \Delta(E)\Delta(F) - \Delta(F)\Delta(E) \\&= (E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E)(F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F) \\&\quad - (F \otimes K^{-1} + 1 \otimes F)(E \otimes 1 + K \otimes E) \\&= EF \otimes K^{-1} + E \otimes F + KF \otimes EK^{-1} + K \otimes EF \\&\quad - FE \otimes K^{-1} - FK \otimes K^{-1}E - E \otimes F - K \otimes FE \\&= (EF - FE) \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes (EF - FE) \\&\quad + KF \otimes EK^{-1} - FK \otimes K^{-1}E \\&= (EF - FE) \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes (EF - FE) \\&\quad + q^2 q^{-2} FK \otimes K^{-1}E - FK \otimes K^{-1}E\end{aligned}$$

## (Sketch of) Proof (cont.)

Thus,

$$\Delta(EF - FE) = (EF - FE) \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes (EF - FE)$$

## (Sketch of) Proof (cont.)

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(EF - FE) &= (EF - FE) \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes (EF - FE) \\ &= \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}\end{aligned}$$



## (Sketch of) Proof (cont.)

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(EF - FE) &= (EF - FE) \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes (EF - FE) \\ &= \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \\ &= \frac{K \otimes K - K^{-1} \otimes K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}\end{aligned}$$

## (Sketch of) Proof (cont.)

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(EF - FE) &= (EF - FE) \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes (EF - FE) \\ &= \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \\ &= \frac{K \otimes K - K^{-1} \otimes K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \\ &= \Delta\left(\frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}\right)\end{aligned}$$

and indeed the relation holds, as well as the others. □

## (Sketch of) Proof (cont.)

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(EF - FE) &= (EF - FE) \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes (EF - FE) \\&= \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \otimes K^{-1} + K \otimes \frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \\&= \frac{K \otimes K - K^{-1} \otimes K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}} \\&= \Delta\left(\frac{K - K^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}\right)\end{aligned}$$

and indeed the relation holds, as well as the others. □

We call  $\Delta : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  a **comultiplication**. More on why in a few slides!

# Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ : A Counit

# Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ : A Coint

If we want to turn the ground field into a representation, we need to construct some algebra homomorphism

$$\varepsilon : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C})$$

# Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ : A Counit

If we want to turn the ground field into a representation, we need to construct some algebra homomorphism

$$\varepsilon : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathbb{C})$$

However,  $\text{End}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}$  as **algebras** i.e. an endomorphism of  $\mathbb{C}$  is the same thing as picking a scalar.

# Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ : A Coint (cont.)

# Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ : A Counit (cont.)

## Lemma

*There is a unique algebra homomorphism  $\varepsilon : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by*

$$\varepsilon(E) := 0$$

$$\varepsilon(F) := 0$$

$$\varepsilon(K) := 1$$

*We call  $\varepsilon$  a **counit**. More on why in a few slides!*



# Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ : A Counit (cont.)

## Lemma

There is a unique algebra homomorphism  $\varepsilon : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by

$$\varepsilon(E) := 0$$

$$\varepsilon(F) := 0$$

$$\varepsilon(K) := 1$$

We call  $\varepsilon$  a *counit*. More on why in a few slides!

**Note:** Yet again, the first two formulas are eerily similar to how Lie algebras act on the ground field, while the last is how groups act on the ground field.

# On Comultiplications and Counits

# On Comultiplications and Counits

One of the equivalent ways of defining an associative, unital  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra is as a triple  $(A, \mu, \iota)$  consisting of a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $A$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear maps  $\mu : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$  and  $\iota : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A$  such that the following diagrams commute:

# On Comultiplications and Counits (cont.)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (A \otimes A) \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A,A,A}} & A \otimes (A \otimes A) \\
 \mu \otimes \text{id}_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \mu \\
 A \otimes A & & A \otimes A \\
 \mu \searrow & & \swarrow \mu \\
 & A &
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & A \otimes C & & C \otimes A & \\
 & \downarrow \text{id}_A \otimes \iota & \searrow \rho_A & \swarrow \lambda_A & \downarrow \iota \otimes \text{id}_A \\
 & A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\mu} & A & \xleftarrow{\mu} & A \otimes A
 \end{array}$$

# On Comultiplications and Counits (cont.)

It might be new for some, but perhaps not surprising that there is a related object one can define called a **coassociative, counital  $\mathbb{C}$ -coalgebra**.

# On Comultiplications and Counits (cont.)

It might be new for some, but perhaps not surprising that there is a related object one can define called a **coassociative, counital  $\mathbb{C}$ -coalgebra**. Would anyone like to guess its definition?

# On Comultiplications and Counits (cont.)

It might be new for some, but perhaps not surprising that there is a related object one can define called a **coassociative, counital  $\mathbb{C}$ -coalgebra**. Would anyone like to guess its definition?

It is a triple  $(C, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  consisting of a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $C$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear maps  $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes C$  and  $\varepsilon : C \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that the following diagrams commute:

# On Comultiplications and Counits (cont.)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (C \otimes C) \otimes C & \xleftarrow{\alpha_{C,C,C}^{-1}} & C \otimes (C \otimes C) \\
 \Delta \otimes \text{id}_C \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{id}_C \otimes \Delta \\
 C \otimes C & & C \otimes C \\
 & \swarrow \Delta \quad \searrow \Delta & \\
 & C &
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & C \otimes C & & C \otimes C & \\
 & \uparrow \rho_C^{-1} & & \uparrow \lambda_C^{-1} & \\
 & C & & C & \\
 \text{id}_C \otimes \varepsilon \uparrow & & \swarrow \Delta & \searrow \Delta & \uparrow \varepsilon \otimes \text{id}_C \\
 C \otimes C & & & & C \otimes C
 \end{array}$$



## On Comultiplications and Counits (cont.)

It is then a computational check on the generators to show that  $(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2), \Delta, \varepsilon)$  is a coassociative, counital  $\mathbb{C}$ -coalgebra! But the previous lemmas said something more about the comultiplication and counit.

## On Comultiplications and Counits (cont.)

It is then a computational check on the generators to show that  $(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2), \Delta, \varepsilon)$  is a coassociative, counital  $\mathbb{C}$ -coalgebra! But the previous lemmas said something more about the comultiplication and counit. These maps also happen to be **algebra homomorphisms**! This leads to the following definition

# Bialgebras

## Definition

A **bialgebra** is a quintuple  $(B, \mu, \iota, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  such that  $(B, \mu, \iota)$  is an associative, unital algebra,  $(B, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  is a coassociative, counital coalgebra, and such that any two of the **equivalent** conditions hold

# Bialgebras

## Definition

A **bialgebra** is a quintuple  $(B, \mu, \iota, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  such that  $(B, \mu, \iota)$  is an associative, unital algebra,  $(B, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  is a coassociative, counital coalgebra, and such that any two of the **equivalent** conditions hold

- 1  $\Delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  are **algebra homomorphisms**.

# Bialgebras

## Definition

A **bialgebra** is a quintuple  $(B, \mu, \iota, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  such that  $(B, \mu, \iota)$  is an associative, unital algebra,  $(B, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  is a coassociative, counital coalgebra, and such that any two of the **equivalent** conditions hold

- 1  $\Delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  are **algebra homomorphisms**.
- 2  $\mu$  and  $\iota$  are **coalgebra homomorphisms**.

# Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ : An Antipode

# Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ : An Antipode

Given a module  $M$  we want to turn  $M^* := \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{C})$  into a  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module as well.

# Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ : An Antipode

Given a module  $M$  we want to turn  $M^* := \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{C})$  into a  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module as well.

Let us recall how Lie algebras and groups act on duals:



# Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ : An Antipode

Given a module  $M$  we want to turn  $M^* := \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{C})$  into a  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module as well.

Let us recall how Lie algebras and groups act on duals:

$$(x \cdot f)(u) := f(-x \cdot u), \quad (g \cdot f)(u) := f(g^{-1} \cdot u)$$

# Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ : An Antipode (cont.)

# Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ : An Antipode (cont.)

## Lemma

There is a unique algebra *antiautomorphism*  $S : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  given by

$$S(E) := -K^{-1}E$$

$$S(F) := -FK$$

$$S(K) := K^{-1}$$

We call  $S$  an *antipode*.

# Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ : An Antipode (cont.)

## Lemma

There is a unique algebra *antiautomorphism*  $S : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  given by

$$S(E) := -K^{-1}E$$

$$S(F) := -FK$$

$$S(K) := K^{-1}$$

We call  $S$  an *antipode*.

**Note:** Yet again, the first two formulas are eerily similar to how Lie algebras act on duals, while the last is how groups act on duals.

## Representations of $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ : An Antipode (cont.)

Thus, if  $(M, \phi)$  is a  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module, then  $M^*$  can be given a  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module structure by defining

$$\phi^S : U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \rightarrow \text{End}(M^*), \quad x \mapsto (f \mapsto f \circ \phi(S(x)))$$

# On the Antipode

# On the Antipode

## Lemma

*The following diagrams commute*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \\ \downarrow \iota \circ \varepsilon & & \downarrow id \otimes S \\ U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) & \xleftarrow{\mu} & U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \\ \downarrow \iota \circ \varepsilon & & \downarrow S \otimes id \\ U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) & \xleftarrow{\mu} & U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \end{array}$$

# On the Antipode

## Lemma

*The following diagrams commute*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \\ \downarrow \iota \circ \varepsilon & & \downarrow id \otimes S \\ U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) & \xleftarrow{\mu} & U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \\ \downarrow \iota \circ \varepsilon & & \downarrow S \otimes id \\ U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) & \xleftarrow{\mu} & U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \end{array}$$

## (Sketch of) Proof.

One proceeds to verify this on the generators of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  and that  $\iota \circ \varepsilon$  is multiplicative; then the commutativity indeed follows. Proving the equality on the generators is tedious computation, but the latter part is delicate. The reason it is not readily true is  $\iota$  is not an algebra homomorphism, nor are  $S$  and  $\mu$ . □



# Hopf Algebras

# Hopf Algebras

## Definition

A **Hopf algebra** is a sextuple  $(H, \mu, \iota, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$  such that  $(H, \mu, \iota, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  is a bialgebra, and such that the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & H \otimes H \\ \downarrow \iota \circ \varepsilon & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes S \\ H & \xleftarrow{\mu} & H \otimes H \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & H \otimes H \\ \downarrow \iota \circ \varepsilon & & \downarrow S \otimes \text{id} \\ H & \xleftarrow{\mu} & H \otimes H \end{array}$$

# Hopf Algebras

## Definition

A **Hopf algebra** is a sextuple  $(H, \mu, \iota, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$  such that  $(H, \mu, \iota, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  is a bialgebra, and such that the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & H \otimes H \\ \iota \circ \varepsilon \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes S \\ H & \xleftarrow{\mu} & H \otimes H \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & H \otimes H \\ \iota \circ \varepsilon \downarrow & & \downarrow S \otimes \text{id} \\ H & \xleftarrow{\mu} & H \otimes H \end{array}$$

$(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2), \mu, \iota, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$  as defined throughout this talk is a Hopf algebra!

# Hopf Algebras

## Definition

A **Hopf algebra** is a sextuple  $(H, \mu, \iota, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$  such that  $(H, \mu, \iota, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  is a bialgebra, and such that the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & H \otimes H \\ \iota \varepsilon \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes S \\ H & \xleftarrow{\mu} & H \otimes H \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & H \otimes H \\ \iota \varepsilon \downarrow & & \downarrow S \otimes \text{id} \\ H & \xleftarrow{\mu} & H \otimes H \end{array}$$

$(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2), \mu, \iota, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$  as defined throughout this talk is a Hopf algebra!

**Note:** The antipode is **uniquely** determined by having to satisfy the commutativity of the above two diagrams. The map will **automatically** be an antihomomorphism, but might not necessarily be invertible.

# What About Lie Algebras and Groups?

# What About Lie Algebras and Groups?

Modules of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp. a group  $G$ ) are equivalent to modules of its universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  (resp. group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ ). In particular, both of these associative, unital algebras admit the following respective Hopf algebra structure

# What About Lie Algebras and Groups?

Modules of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp. a group  $G$ ) are equivalent to modules of its universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  (resp. group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ ). In particular, both of these associative, unital algebras admit the following respective Hopf algebra structure

- $U(\mathfrak{g})$

# What About Lie Algebras and Groups?

Modules of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp. a group  $G$ ) are equivalent to modules of its universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  (resp. group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ ). In particular, both of these associative, unital algebras admit the following respective Hopf algebra structure

- $U(\mathfrak{g})$ 
  - $\Delta : x \mapsto x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$



# What About Lie Algebras and Groups?

Modules of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp. a group  $G$ ) are equivalent to modules of its universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  (resp. group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ ). In particular, both of these associative, unital algebras admit the following respective Hopf algebra structure

- $U(\mathfrak{g})$ 
  - $\Delta : x \mapsto x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$
  - $\varepsilon : x \mapsto 0$

# What About Lie Algebras and Groups?

Modules of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp. a group  $G$ ) are equivalent to modules of its universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  (resp. group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ ). In particular, both of these associative, unital algebras admit the following respective Hopf algebra structure

- $U(\mathfrak{g})$ 
  - $\Delta : x \mapsto x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$
  - $\varepsilon : x \mapsto 0$
  - $S : x \mapsto -x$

# What About Lie Algebras and Groups?

Modules of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp. a group  $G$ ) are equivalent to modules of its universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  (resp. group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ ). In particular, both of these associative, unital algebras admit the following respective Hopf algebra structure

- $U(\mathfrak{g})$ 
  - $\Delta : x \mapsto x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$
  - $\varepsilon : x \mapsto 0$
  - $S : x \mapsto -x$
- $\mathbb{C}[G]$

# What About Lie Algebras and Groups?

Modules of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp. a group  $G$ ) are equivalent to modules of its universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  (resp. group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ ). In particular, both of these associative, unital algebras admit the following respective Hopf algebra structure

- $U(\mathfrak{g})$ 
  - $\Delta : x \mapsto x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$
  - $\varepsilon : x \mapsto 0$
  - $S : x \mapsto -x$
- $\mathbb{C}[G]$ 
  - $\Delta : g \mapsto g \otimes g$

# What About Lie Algebras and Groups?

Modules of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp. a group  $G$ ) are equivalent to modules of its universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  (resp. group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ ). In particular, both of these associative, unital algebras admit the following respective Hopf algebra structure

- $U(\mathfrak{g})$ 
  - $\Delta : x \mapsto x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$
  - $\varepsilon : x \mapsto 0$
  - $S : x \mapsto -x$
- $\mathbb{C}[G]$ 
  - $\Delta : g \mapsto g \otimes g$
  - $\varepsilon : g \mapsto 1$

# What About Lie Algebras and Groups?

Modules of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp. a group  $G$ ) are equivalent to modules of its universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  (resp. group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ ). In particular, both of these associative, unital algebras admit the following respective Hopf algebra structure

- $U(\mathfrak{g})$ 
  - $\Delta : x \mapsto x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$
  - $\varepsilon : x \mapsto 0$
  - $S : x \mapsto -x$
- $\mathbb{C}[G]$ 
  - $\Delta : g \mapsto g \otimes g$
  - $\varepsilon : g \mapsto 1$
  - $S : g \mapsto g^{-1}$

# What About Lie Algebras and Groups?

Modules of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp. a group  $G$ ) are equivalent to modules of its universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  (resp. group algebra  $\mathbb{C}[G]$ ). In particular, both of these associative, unital algebras admit the following respective Hopf algebra structure

- $U(\mathfrak{g})$ 
  - $\Delta : x \mapsto x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x$
  - $\varepsilon : x \mapsto 0$
  - $S : x \mapsto -x$
- $\mathbb{C}[G]$ 
  - $\Delta : g \mapsto g \otimes g$
  - $\varepsilon : g \mapsto 1$
  - $S : g \mapsto g^{-1}$

**Note:** For group algebras, the group elements are typically denoted alternatively to not confuse the operations of the algebra with that of the group e.g. writing  $\delta_g$  to represent the basis element corresponding to  $g \in G$ .

# Where the Hopf are these Hopf Algebras?



# Where the Hopf are these Hopf Algebras?

Suppose  $(U, \phi)$  and  $(V, \psi)$  are  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules, hence  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. Then I claim their tensor product  $U \otimes V$  becomes a  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module by utilizing the comultiplication. And indeed, following the schematic from quantum groups:

# Where the Hopf are these Hopf Algebras?

Suppose  $(U, \phi)$  and  $(V, \psi)$  are  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules, hence  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. Then I claim their tensor product  $U \otimes V$  becomes a  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ -module by utilizing the comultiplication. And indeed, following the schematic from quantum groups:

$$x \xrightarrow{\Delta} x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x \xrightarrow{\phi \otimes \psi} \phi(x) \otimes \text{id}_V + \text{id}_U \otimes \psi(x)$$

## Where the Hopf are these Hopf Algebras? (cont.)

Thus, for an elementary tensor  $u \otimes v \in U \otimes V$

## Where the Hopf are these Hopf Algebras? (cont.)

Thus, for an elementary tensor  $u \otimes v \in U \otimes V$

$$x \cdot (u \otimes v) := (((\phi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta)(x))(u \otimes v)$$

## Where the Hopf are these Hopf Algebras? (cont.)

Thus, for an elementary tensor  $u \otimes v \in U \otimes V$

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot (u \otimes v) &:= (((\phi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta)(x))(u \otimes v) \\ &= ((\phi \otimes \psi)(x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x))(u \otimes v) \end{aligned}$$

## Where the Hopf are these Hopf Algebras? (cont.)

Thus, for an elementary tensor  $u \otimes v \in U \otimes V$

$$\begin{aligned}x \cdot (u \otimes v) &:= (((\phi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta)(x))(u \otimes v) \\&= ((\phi \otimes \psi)(x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x))(u \otimes v) \\&= (\phi(x) \otimes \text{id}_V + \text{id}_U \otimes \psi(x))(u \otimes v)\end{aligned}$$

## Where the Hopf are these Hopf Algebras? (cont.)

Thus, for an elementary tensor  $u \otimes v \in U \otimes V$

$$\begin{aligned}x \cdot (u \otimes v) &:= (((\phi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta)(x))(u \otimes v) \\&= ((\phi \otimes \psi)(x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x))(u \otimes v) \\&= (\phi(x) \otimes \text{id}_V + \text{id}_U \otimes \psi(x))(u \otimes v) \\&= \phi(x)(u) \otimes \text{id}_V(v) + \text{id}_U(u) \otimes \psi(x)(v)\end{aligned}$$

## Where the Hopf are these Hopf Algebras? (cont.)

Thus, for an elementary tensor  $u \otimes v \in U \otimes V$

$$\begin{aligned}x \cdot (u \otimes v) &:= (((\phi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta)(x))(u \otimes v) \\&= ((\phi \otimes \psi)(x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x))(u \otimes v) \\&= (\phi(x) \otimes \text{id}_V + \text{id}_U \otimes \psi(x))(u \otimes v) \\&= \phi(x)(u) \otimes \text{id}_V(v) + \text{id}_U(u) \otimes \psi(x)(v) \\&= \phi(x)(u) \otimes v + u \otimes \psi(x)(v)\end{aligned}$$



## Where the Hopf are these Hopf Algebras? (cont.)

Thus, for an elementary tensor  $u \otimes v \in U \otimes V$

$$\begin{aligned}x \cdot (u \otimes v) &:= (((\phi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta)(x))(u \otimes v) \\&= ((\phi \otimes \psi)(x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x))(u \otimes v) \\&= (\phi(x) \otimes \text{id}_V + \text{id}_U \otimes \psi(x))(u \otimes v) \\&= \phi(x)(u) \otimes \text{id}_V(v) + \text{id}_U(u) \otimes \psi(x)(v) \\&= \phi(x)(u) \otimes v + u \otimes \psi(x)(v) \\&= (x \cdot u) \otimes v + u \otimes (x \cdot v)\end{aligned}$$

## Where the Hopf are these Hopf Algebras? (cont.)

Thus, for an elementary tensor  $u \otimes v \in U \otimes V$

$$\begin{aligned}x \cdot (u \otimes v) &:= (((\phi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta)(x))(u \otimes v) \\&= ((\phi \otimes \psi)(x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x))(u \otimes v) \\&= (\phi(x) \otimes \text{id}_V + \text{id}_U \otimes \psi(x))(u \otimes v) \\&= \phi(x)(u) \otimes \text{id}_V(v) + \text{id}_U(u) \otimes \psi(x)(v) \\&= \phi(x)(u) \otimes v + u \otimes \psi(x)(v) \\&= (x \cdot u) \otimes v + u \otimes (x \cdot v)\end{aligned}$$

Which is exactly what we saw in the beginning!

# Commutativity and Cocommutativity, that is the slide

# Commutativity and Cocommutativity, that is the slide

An equivalent way to define a **commutative** algebra is to require the following diagram to commute:

# Commutativity and Cocommutativity, that is the slide

An equivalent way to define a **commutative** algebra is to require the following diagram to commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\tau} & A \otimes A \\ & \searrow \mu & \downarrow \mu \\ & & A \end{array}$$

where  $\tau : x \otimes y \mapsto y \otimes x$ .

# Commutativity and Cocommutativity, that is the slide

An equivalent way to define a **commutative** algebra is to require the following diagram to commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\tau} & A \otimes A \\ & \searrow \mu & \downarrow \mu \\ & & A \end{array}$$

where  $\tau : x \otimes y \mapsto y \otimes x$ . Unsurprisingly, a coalgebra is then called **cocommutative** if the dual diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \otimes C & \xleftarrow{\tau} & C \otimes C \\ & \nwarrow \Delta & \uparrow \Delta \\ & & C \end{array}$$

# Cartier-Gabriel-Kostant theorem

# Cartier-Gabriel-Kostant theorem

## Theorem

Any *cocommutative* Hopf algebra  $H$  over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic zero is of the form

$$\mathbb{K}[G] \ltimes U(\mathfrak{g}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie algebra and  $G$  is a group acting on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .



# Cartier-Gabriel-Kostant theorem

## Theorem

Any *cocommutative* Hopf algebra  $H$  over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic zero is of the form

$$\mathbb{K}[G] \ltimes U(\mathfrak{g}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Lie algebra and  $G$  is a group acting on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

**Note:**  $\mathfrak{g}$  is actually the Lie algebra of *primitive* elements of  $H$ , and  $G$  is the group of *group-like* elements of  $H$ .

# What about Hom sets?

# What about Hom sets?

As with the dual space, let us turn to the Lie algebra and group rep. theory picture for motivation. To that end, recall that  $\text{Hom}(U, V)$  becomes a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module (resp.  $G$ -module) with the following action induced from that on  $U$  and  $V$

# What about Hom sets?

As with the dual space, let us turn to the Lie algebra and group rep. theory picture for motivation. To that end, recall that  $\text{Hom}(U, V)$  becomes a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module (resp.  $G$ -module) with the following action induced from that on  $U$  and  $V$

$$(x \cdot f)(u) := x \cdot (f(u)) - f(x \cdot u), \quad (g \cdot f)(u) := g \cdot (f(g^{-1} \cdot u))$$

# What about Hom sets?

As with the dual space, let us turn to the Lie algebra and group rep. theory picture for motivation. To that end, recall that  $\text{Hom}(U, V)$  becomes a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module (resp.  $G$ -module) with the following action induced from that on  $U$  and  $V$

$$(x \cdot f)(u) := x \cdot (f(u)) - f(x \cdot u), \quad (g \cdot f)(u) := g \cdot (f(g^{-1} \cdot u))$$

Now that we are aware of comultiplications and antipodes, we can manipulate the above action to see what the picture should be for  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ . Let us do so for the Lie algebra case.

## What about Hom sets? (cont.)

$$(x \cdot f)(u) := x \cdot (f(u)) - f(x \cdot u)$$

## What about Hom sets? (cont.)

$$\begin{aligned}(x \cdot f)(u) &:= x \cdot (f(u)) - f(x \cdot u) \\ &= x \cdot (f(1 \cdot u)) + 1 \cdot f(S(x) \cdot u)\end{aligned}$$

## What about Hom sets? (cont.)

$$\begin{aligned}(x \cdot f)(u) &:= x \cdot (f(u)) - f(x \cdot u) \\ &= x \cdot (f(1 \cdot u)) + 1 \cdot f(S(x) \cdot u) \\ &= ((x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes S(x))(f))(u)\end{aligned}$$



## What about Hom sets? (cont.)

$$\begin{aligned}(x \cdot f)(u) &:= x \cdot (f(u)) - f(x \cdot u) \\ &= x \cdot (f(1 \cdot u)) + 1 \cdot f(S(x) \cdot u) \\ &= ((x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes S(x))(f))(u) \\ &= (((\text{id} \otimes S)(x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x))(f))(u)\end{aligned}$$

## What about Hom sets? (cont.)

$$\begin{aligned}(x \cdot f)(u) &:= x \cdot (f(u)) - f(x \cdot u) \\&= x \cdot (f(1 \cdot u)) + 1 \cdot f(S(x) \cdot u) \\&= ((x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes S(x))(f))(u) \\&= (((\text{id} \otimes S)(x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x))(f))(u) \\&= (((\text{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta)(x))(f)(u)\end{aligned}$$

## What about Hom sets? (cont.)

$$\begin{aligned}(x \cdot f)(u) &:= x \cdot (f(u)) - f(x \cdot u) \\&= x \cdot (f(1 \cdot u)) + 1 \cdot f(S(x) \cdot u) \\&= ((x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes S(x))(f))(u) \\&= (((\text{id} \otimes S)(x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x))(f))(u) \\&= (((\text{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta)(x))(f))(u) \\&= (((\text{id} \otimes S) \circ \tau \circ \Delta)(x))(f))(u)\end{aligned}$$

# A Subtlety in Isomorphisms

# A Subtlety in Isomorphisms

For  $f \in U^*$  and  $v \in V$  let  $\varphi_{f,v} : U \rightarrow V$  be the linear map defined by  $u \mapsto f(u)v$ . Then we have natural isomorphisms of **vector spaces**

# A Subtlety in Isomorphisms

For  $f \in U^*$  and  $v \in V$  let  $\varphi_{f,v} : U \rightarrow V$  be the linear map defined by  $u \mapsto f(u)v$ . Then we have natural isomorphisms of **vector spaces**

$$V \otimes U^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}(U, V), \quad v \otimes f \mapsto \varphi_{f,v}$$

# A Subtlety in Isomorphisms

For  $f \in U^*$  and  $v \in V$  let  $\varphi_{f,v} : U \rightarrow V$  be the linear map defined by  $u \mapsto f(u)v$ . Then we have natural isomorphisms of **vector spaces**

$$V \otimes U^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}(U, V), \quad v \otimes f \mapsto \varphi_{f,v}$$

and similarly

$$U^* \otimes V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(U, V), \quad f \otimes v \mapsto \varphi_{f,v}$$

# A Subtlety in Isomorphisms

For  $f \in U^*$  and  $v \in V$  let  $\varphi_{f,v} : U \rightarrow V$  be the linear map defined by  $u \mapsto f(u)v$ . Then we have natural isomorphisms of **vector spaces**

$$V \otimes U^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}(U, V), \quad v \otimes f \mapsto \varphi_{f,v}$$

and similarly

$$U^* \otimes V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(U, V), \quad f \otimes v \mapsto \varphi_{f,v}$$

where really the second isomorphism follows from the first since  $\tau : V \otimes U^* \rightarrow U^* \otimes V$  is an isomorphism of **vector spaces**.



# A Subtlety in Isomorphisms

For  $f \in U^*$  and  $v \in V$  let  $\varphi_{f,v} : U \rightarrow V$  be the linear map defined by  $u \mapsto f(u)v$ . Then we have natural isomorphisms of **vector spaces**

$$V \otimes U^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}(U, V), \quad v \otimes f \mapsto \varphi_{f,v}$$

and similarly

$$U^* \otimes V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(U, V), \quad f \otimes v \mapsto \varphi_{f,v}$$

where really the second isomorphism follows from the first since  $\tau : V \otimes U^* \rightarrow U^* \otimes V$  is an isomorphism of **vector spaces**.

**Note:** The  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules (resp.  $G$ -module) structure on  $\text{Hom}(U, V)$  makes these isomorphisms become **isomorphisms of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules (resp.  $G$ -modules)**.

# The story of $\text{Hom}(U, V)$ for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

## The story of $\text{Hom}(U, V)$ for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

There are in fact **two ways** of naturally defining a module structure on  $\text{Hom}(U, V)$ . Moreover, each of these ways makes **only one** of the two natural isomorphisms become isomorphisms of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules!

## The story of $\text{Hom}(U, V)$ for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

There are in fact **two ways** of naturally defining a module structure on  $\text{Hom}(U, V)$ . Moreover, each of these ways makes **only one** of the two natural isomorphisms become isomorphisms of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules!

Specifically, if  $\Delta(x) = \sum_i x_i \otimes x'_i$ , then the action

$$(x \cdot f)(u) := \sum_i x_i \cdot f(S(x'_i) \cdot u)$$

makes the previous map  $V \otimes U^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}(U, V)$  an isomorphism of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules.

## The story of $\text{Hom}(U, V)$ for $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$

There are in fact **two ways** of naturally defining a module structure on  $\text{Hom}(U, V)$ . Moreover, each of these ways makes **only one** of the two natural isomorphisms become isomorphisms of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules!

Specifically, if  $\Delta(x) = \sum_i x_i \otimes x'_i$ , then the action

$$(x \cdot f)(u) := \sum_i x_i \cdot f(S(x'_i) \cdot u)$$

makes the previous map  $V \otimes U^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}(U, V)$  an isomorphism of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules. If instead we take

$$(x \cdot f)(u) := \sum_i x'_i \cdot f(S(x_i) \cdot u)$$

then  $U^* \otimes V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(U, V)$  becomes an isomorphism of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules.

# Symmetry of Tensor Products

# Symmetry of Tensor Products

Recall that  $\tau : U \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes U$  is an **isomorphism of vector spaces** for any pair of vector spaces. Moreover, this map is in fact also an **isomorphism of modules** for a Lie algebra or for a group.

# Symmetry of Tensor Products

Recall that  $\tau : U \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes U$  is an **isomorphism of vector spaces** for any pair of vector spaces. Moreover, this map is in fact also an **isomorphism of modules** for a Lie algebra or for a group.

The transposition map is **no longer an isomorphism** for  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules! The natural approach to just swapping tensor factors will not in general preserve the  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  structure. We thus need something else to be able to swap tensor factors in place of  $\tau$ . To that end, what really makes  $\tau$  so special?



# Braiding

# Braiding

Let  $V_1, V_2, V_3$  be  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces. Then the following diagrams commute

# Braiding

Let  $V_1, V_2, V_3$  be  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces. Then the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & V_1 \otimes (V_3 \otimes V_2) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{1,3,2}^{-1}} & (V_1 \otimes V_3) \otimes V_2 \\ & \nearrow \text{id}_1 \otimes \tau_{2,3} & & & \searrow \tau_{1,3} \otimes \text{id}_2 \\ V_1 \otimes (V_2 \otimes V_3) & & & & (V_3 \otimes V_1) \otimes V_2 \\ & \searrow \alpha_{1,2,3}^{-1} & & & \nearrow \alpha_{3,1,2}^{-1} \\ & (V_1 \otimes V_2) \otimes V_3 & \xrightarrow{\tau_{12,3}} & V_3 \otimes (V_1 \otimes V_2) & \end{array}$$

and

# Braiding

Let  $V_1, V_2, V_3$  be  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces. Then the following diagrams commute

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & V_1 \otimes (V_3 \otimes V_2) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{1,3,2}^{-1}} & (V_1 \otimes V_3) \otimes V_2 \\
 & \nearrow \text{id}_1 \otimes \tau_{2,3} & & & \searrow \tau_{1,3} \otimes \text{id}_2 \\
 V_1 \otimes (V_2 \otimes V_3) & & & & (V_3 \otimes V_1) \otimes V_2 \\
 & \searrow \alpha_{1,2,3}^{-1} & & & \nearrow \alpha_{3,1,2}^{-1} \\
 & & (V_1 \otimes V_2) \otimes V_3 & \xrightarrow{\tau_{12,3}} & V_3 \otimes (V_1 \otimes V_2)
 \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & (V_2 \otimes V_1) \otimes V_3 & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{2,1,3}} & V_2 \otimes (V_1 \otimes V_3) \\
 & \nearrow \tau_{1,2} \otimes \text{id}_3 & & & \searrow \text{id}_2 \otimes \tau_{1,3} \\
 (V_1 \otimes V_2) \otimes V_3 & & & & V_2 \otimes (V_3 \otimes V_1) \\
 & \searrow \alpha_{1,2,3} & & & \nearrow \alpha_{2,3,1} \\
 & & V_1 \otimes (V_2 \otimes V_3) & \xrightarrow{\tau_{1,23}} & (V_2 \otimes V_3) \otimes V_1
 \end{array}$$

# Braiding (cont.)

# Braiding (cont.)

## Definition

The category of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules is said to admit a **braiding**

$$\{\tau_{U,V} : U \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes U \mid U, V \text{ are } U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\text{-modules}\}$$

if each  $\tau_{U,V}$  is an invertible  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -linear map, and if for any three  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules  $U, V, W$  the above **hexagon diagrams** commute. We further call the braiding **symmetric** if

$$\tau_{V,U} \circ \tau_{U,V} = \text{id}_{U \otimes V}$$

# Braiding (cont.)

## Braiding (cont.)

- The category of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules and of  $G$ -modules admit a symmetric braiding e.g. they have the transposition  $\tau$ .



## Braiding (cont.)

- The category of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules and of  $G$ -modules admit a symmetric braiding e.g. they have the transposition  $\tau$ .
- The category of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules **also admits a braiding**, but this braiding is **nonsymmetric**! The braiding is given by

## Braiding (cont.)

- The category of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules and of  $G$ -modules admit a symmetric braiding e.g. they have the transposition  $\tau$ .
- The category of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules **also admits a braiding**, but this braiding is **nonsymmetric**! The braiding is given by

$$\left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{-n(n-1)/2} \frac{(q - q^{-1})^n}{[n]!} F^n \otimes E^n \right) \circ \tilde{f} \circ \tau$$

where

## Braiding (cont.)

- The category of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules and of  $G$ -modules admit a symmetric braiding e.g. they have the transposition  $\tau$ .
- The category of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules **also admits a braiding**, but this braiding is **nonsymmetric**! The braiding is given by

$$\left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{-n(n-1)/2} \frac{(q - q^{-1})^n}{[n]!} F^n \otimes E^n \right) \circ \tilde{f} \circ \tau$$

where

$$[n]! := [n] \cdot [n-1] \cdot \dots \cdot [1] = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{q^j - q^{-j}}{q - q^{-1}}$$

## Braiding (cont.)

- The category of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules and of  $G$ -modules admit a symmetric braiding e.g. they have the transposition  $\tau$ .
- The category of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules **also admits a braiding**, but this braiding is **nonsymmetric**! The braiding is given by

$$\left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{-n(n-1)/2} \frac{(q - q^{-1})^n}{[n]!} F^n \otimes E^n \right) \circ \tilde{f} \circ \tau$$

where

$$[n]! := [n] \cdot [n-1] \cdot \dots \cdot [1] = \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{q^j - q^{-j}}{q - q^{-1}}$$

$\tilde{f}$  is essentially a scaling factor, which scales each eigenspace of the corresponding tensor factor by an appropriate value.

# ...Now What?

## ...Now What?

- The construction of general quantum groups  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Kac-Moody algebra, as well as a Lie superalgebra.

## ...Now What?

- The construction of general quantum groups  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Kac-Moody algebra, as well as a Lie superalgebra.
- Ribbon categories and ribbon Hopf algebras.

## ...Now What?

- The construction of general quantum groups  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Kac-Moody algebra, as well as a Lie superalgebra.
- Ribbon categories and ribbon Hopf algebras.
  - *Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-Manifolds* by V.G. Turaev



## ...Now What?

- The construction of general quantum groups  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Kac-Moody algebra, as well as a Lie superalgebra.
- Ribbon categories and ribbon Hopf algebras.
  - *Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-Manifolds* by V.G. Turaev
- Hecke algebras and the Braid group.

## ...Now What?

- The construction of general quantum groups  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Kac-Moody algebra, as well as a Lie superalgebra.
- Ribbon categories and ribbon Hopf algebras.
  - *Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-Manifolds* by V.G. Turaev
- Hecke algebras and the Braid group.
- Statistical Mechanics and Quantum Yang-Baxter equation.

## ...Now What?

- The construction of general quantum groups  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Kac-Moody algebra, as well as a Lie superalgebra.
- Ribbon categories and ribbon Hopf algebras.
  - *Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-Manifolds* by V.G. Turaev
- Hecke algebras and the Braid group.
- Statistical Mechanics and Quantum Yang-Baxter equation.
- Algebraic Combinatorics and nonsymmetric Macdonald Polynomials

## ...Now What?

- The construction of general quantum groups  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Kac-Moody algebra, as well as a Lie superalgebra.
- Ribbon categories and ribbon Hopf algebras.
  - *Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-Manifolds* by V.G. Turaev
- Hecke algebras and the Braid group.
- Statistical Mechanics and Quantum Yang-Baxter equation.
- Algebraic Combinatorics and nonsymmetric Macdonald Polynomials
- Taking the classical limit  $q \rightarrow 1$ .

## ...Now What?

- The construction of general quantum groups  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Kac-Moody algebra, as well as a Lie superalgebra.
- Ribbon categories and ribbon Hopf algebras.
  - *Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-Manifolds* by V.G. Turaev
- Hecke algebras and the Braid group.
- Statistical Mechanics and Quantum Yang-Baxter equation.
- Algebraic Combinatorics and nonsymmetric Macdonald Polynomials
- Taking the classical limit  $q \rightarrow 1$ .
- Modular tensor categories when  $q$  is a primitive root of unity.

## ...Now What?

- The construction of general quantum groups  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a Kac-Moody algebra, as well as a Lie superalgebra.
- Ribbon categories and ribbon Hopf algebras.
  - *Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-Manifolds* by V.G. Turaev
- Hecke algebras and the Braid group.
- Statistical Mechanics and Quantum Yang-Baxter equation.
- Algebraic Combinatorics and nonsymmetric Macdonald Polynomials
- Taking the classical limit  $q \rightarrow 1$ .
- Modular tensor categories when  $q$  is a primitive root of unity.
- Crystal bases and letting  $q \rightarrow 0$ .

Thank You!

Thank You! **applause**